1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	In Re	No. C-11-4358 EMC (pr)
9	ANSAR MUHAMMAD	No. C-11-4433 EMC (pr) No. C-11-4434 EMC (pr)
10	a/k/a TONEY BENNETT,	No. C-11-4889 EMC (pr)
11	Plaintiff.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
12		
13	On April 2, 2012, mail was sent from the Court to Plaintiff at the address he provided on his	
14	complaint and was returned undelivered on April 9, 2012, marked "return to sender" and "not in	
15	custody." More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the Court undelivered.	
16	Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding pro se	

complaint and was returned undelivered on April 9, 2012, marked "return to sender" and "not in custody." More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the Court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding *pro se* must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address" when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the Court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a *pro se* party is returned as not deliverable and the *pro se* party fails to send a current address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail. These four actions are dismissed without prejudice because Plaintiff failed to keep the Court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a). The Clerk shall close the files.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 22, 2012

United States District Judge